## **Faculti Summary**

https://staging.faculti.net/the-corporate-board-in-an-age-of-collaborative-intelligence-and-complex-risk/

This video video discusses the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into corporate governance, particularly in the context of risk management and organizational structure, as highlighted in a case study involving Westpac, an Australian bank. The research was part of the edited book "Technology and Corporate Law" published in 2021, emphasizing how AI can aid in reducing information asymmetry between management and boards of directors.

## Key points include:

- 1. \*\*Corporate Governance and AI:\*\* The work underscores a shift in corporate governance, where AI could assist in oversight functions, facilitating better communication and decision-making between levels of management and boards.
- 2. \*\*Westpac Case Study:\*\* Westpac faced significant issues regarding compliance with anti-money laundering laws, resulting in a historic fine of \$1.3 billion. This video video scenario illustrated the failures in risk management and technology oversight, revealing the inadequacies of both management and board actions.
- 3. \*\*Human Involvement:\*\* The authors stress that while AI may enhance efficiency, it cannot completely replace human directors. Human judgment is essential, particularly for making value-driven decisions that AI cannot handle effectively.
- 4. \*\*Operational Challenges:\*\* The case showed that technological tools require skilled personnel for their design, implementation, and oversight. In Westpac's case, inconsistent corporate knowledge and management indifference contributed to its failures.
- 5. \*\*Future of Governance:\*\* The article predicts a potential flattening of organizational structures as AI reshapes the traditional hierarchies in companies, allowing for improved flow of information. However, it emphasizes that AI's effectiveness hinges on clearly established objectives, ongoing monitoring, and the continued presence of human oversight.
- 6. \*\*Conclusion:\*\* The authors argue against the concept of a completely AI-driven corporation, positing that human directors are necessary for effective governance. They contend that AI should augment human capabilities rather than replace them, enabling better decision-making and oversight processes.

In summary, the text argues for a balanced approach to implementing AI in corporate governance, suggesting that while AI is beneficial for risk management, human oversight remains critical for making nuanced, ethical, and strategic decisions.